

2.12 - Monads on **Set**

Nicholas McConnell

(Categories)

The material and exposition for this lesson follows an imaginary textbook on Dozzie Abstract Algebra.

This section is not a prerequisite of any other and may be skipped if desired.

In Section 10, we classified algebraic categories, and showed that each one is isomorphic to some variety in universal algebra. In this section we will do something surprisingly easier: we will take an arbitrary monad (T, η, μ) on the category **Set**, and show that it is the monad sending $X \rightarrow F_S(\Omega, X)$ for some variety $\mathcal{V}(S)$. This, in effect, associates any adjunction (G, F, η) with $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ with a monadic adjunction involving a variety.

There is one obstacle of this, though: the variety might have infinitary operations if we are not careful. For example, the least upper bound in a complete join-semilattice is infinitary — it allows infinitely many operands at once. We have always assumed varieties consist of *finitary* operations, so we must first define a condition on the monad.

DEFINITION *A monad (T, η, μ) on **Set** is said to be **finitary** provided that whenever X is a set and $w \in TX$, there exists a finite subset X' of X such that if $\iota : X' \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map, then $T(\iota) : TX' \rightarrow TX$ has w in its image.*

This statement says that any expression in TX uses only finitely many symbols. Thus it guarantees the “finiteness” of expressions and operators, and is related to Lemma 1.20 in the previous chapter. We now state and prove our theorem.

THEOREM 2.11 *If (T, η, μ) is a finitary monad on **Set**, then there exists a variety $\mathcal{V}(S)$ such that (T, η, μ) is the monad sending $X \rightarrow F_S(\Omega, X)$, in Example 1 of Section 11.*

(The theorem also holds if the monad is not finitary, but then there would be infinitary operators in $\mathcal{V}(S)$, which is beyond our course.)

Proof of Theorem 2.11. First we define a universal-algebra signature Ω . For each $n \geq 0$, set $\Omega(n) = T\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. In particular, $\Omega(0) = T\emptyset$.

Now, for each set X we form the following Ω -algebra structure on TX : For $\omega \in \Omega(n)$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in TX$, let φ be the map $x_i \rightarrow a_i$ from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow TX$. Then $\mu_X T(\varphi)$ goes from $\Omega(n) \rightarrow TX$; define $(\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \mu_X T(\varphi)(\omega)$. In particular, if $n = 0$, let φ be the unique map $\emptyset \rightarrow TX$ and define $(\omega_{TX}) = \mu_X T(\varphi)(\omega)$. We thus have made each TX into an Ω -algebra. It is evident that if $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ then $(\omega \eta_X(x_1) \eta_X(x_2) \dots \eta_X(x_n))$ is the element ω of $T\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.

We now form a set $S \subseteq F(\Omega, X_0)^2$ of identities for a variety $\mathcal{V}(S)$. [Recall that X_0 is a countably infinite set.] For any expressions $w_1, w_2 \in F(\Omega, X_0)$, we have $w_1, w_2 \in F(\Omega, X')$ for some finite subset of $X' = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of X_0 . Thus w_1 and w_2 are expressions in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , and therefore, when each x_i

is changed to $\eta_{X'}(x_i)$ the resulting expressions can be evaluated to elements of the algebra $TX' = \Omega(n)$; if they are the same element, assign $(w_1, w_2) \in S$.

We now claim that $\mathcal{V}(S)$ is our desired variety; to do this, we must show four things:

- (1) For any set X , TX is identifiably $F_S(\Omega, X)$;
- (2) For any $f : X \rightarrow Y$; $T(f) : TX \rightarrow TY$ is the homomorphism $f : F_S(\Omega, X) \rightarrow F_S(\Omega, Y)$ which extends f ;
- (3) $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TX$ is the usual inclusion $X \rightarrow F_S(\Omega, X)$;
- (4) $\mu_X : TTX \rightarrow TX$ is the evaluation homomorphism $F_S(\Omega, TX) \rightarrow TX$.

This will mean the monad necessarily matches up with the one we have in the previous section.

We first show that for each $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T(f) : TX \rightarrow TY$ is a homomorphism. Take any $\omega \in \Omega(n)$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in TX$. Let $g_1 : \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow TX$ sending $x_i \rightarrow a_i$, so $g_2 = T(f)g_1 : \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow TY$ sends $x_i \rightarrow T(f)(a_i)$. Then by definition of ω , $\mu_X T(g_1)(\omega) = (\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$, and $\mu_X T(g_2)(\omega) = (\omega T(f)(a_1) T(f)(a_2) \dots T(f)(a_n))$. However, $\mu_X T(g_2) = \mu_X T(T(f)g_1) = \mu_X T T(f) T(g_1) = T(f) \mu_X T(g_1)$, by naturality of μ . Thus $\mu_X T(g_2)(\omega) = T(f)[\mu_X T(g_1)(\omega)] = T(f)(\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$, and

$$T(f)(\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = (\omega T(f)(a_1) T(f)(a_2) \dots T(f)(a_n)),$$

proving that $T(f)$ is a homomorphism.

Next, we prove that $\mu_X : TTX \rightarrow TX$ is a homomorphism. For any $\omega \in \Omega(n)$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in TTX$, let $g_1 : \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow TTX$ send $x_i \rightarrow a_i$ and $g_2 = \mu_X g_1 : \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow TX$. Then by definition of ω , $\mu_{TX} T(g_1)(\omega) = (\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$, and $\mu_X T(g_2)(\omega) = (\omega \mu_X(a_1) \mu_X(a_2) \dots \mu_X(a_n))$. But $\mu_X T(g_2) = \mu_X T(\mu_X g_1) = \mu_X T(\mu_X) T(g_1) = \mu_X \mu_{TX} T(g_1)$ [recall that $\mu(T\mu) = \mu(T\mu)$]. Therefore, $\mu_X T(g_2)(\omega) = \mu_X [\mu_{TX} T(g_1)(\omega)] = \mu_X (\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$. It follows that μ_X is a homomorphism, as $\mu_X T(g_2)(\omega)$ is equal to both $\mu_X (\omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ and $(\omega \mu_X(a_1) \mu_X(a_2) \dots \mu_X(a_n))$.

We are now ready to prove statements (1)-(4) above.

To show (1), first we need to prove that the Ω -algebra TX is in $\mathcal{V}(S)$. To do this, take any homomorphism $\varphi : F(\Omega, X_0) \rightarrow TX$ and $(w_1, w_2) \in S$. Then $w_1, w_2 \in F(\Omega, X')$ for some finite subset $X' = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of X_0 . Furthermore, $w_1, w_2 \in \Omega(n)$, and if $a_i = \varphi(x_i) \in TX$ for each i , then $\varphi(w_j) = (w_j a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ for $j = 1, 2$ because φ is a homomorphism. Now take the map $\psi : X' \rightarrow TX$ sending $x_i \rightarrow a_i$ and form $\phi = \mu_X T(\psi) : TX' \rightarrow TX$. The last two paragraphs imply that ϕ is a homomorphism, so $\phi(w_j x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) = (w_j a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ for $j = 1, 2$. However, $(w_1 x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)$ and $(w_2 x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)$ are the same element of TX' , because $(w_1, w_2) \in S$. Therefore, $(w_1 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = (w_2 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$, from which it follows that $(w_1, w_2) \in F(\Omega, X_0)^2$ is in the kernel of φ . Therefore, $TX \in \mathcal{V}(S)$.

Because of this, there is a unique homomorphism $h_X : F_S(\Omega, X) \rightarrow TX$ extending the set map $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TX$. We claim that h_X is an isomorphism. To

support this claim, first suppose X is a finite set, say $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Then if $h_X(\bar{e}_1) = h_X(\bar{e}_2)$ with $e_1, e_2 \in F(\Omega, X)$, then after identifying X with a subset of X_0 , e_1 and e_2 are expressions in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n which evaluate, after substituting $x_i \rightarrow \eta_X(x_i)$, to the same element in $TX = \Omega(n)$. Hence $(e_1, e_2) \in S$ by definition of S and $\bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_2$. Therefore, h_X is injective. For each $\omega \in TX = \Omega(n)$, h_X sends the expression $(\omega x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)$ to $(\omega \eta_X(x_1) \eta_X(x_2) \dots \eta_X(x_n)) = \omega$ (because h_X is a homomorphism), so h_X is surjective. Thus h_X is an isomorphism if X is finite.

Now suppose X is infinite. For each finite subset X' of X , recall that $F_S(\Omega, X')$ is a subalgebra of $F_S(\Omega, X)$, and realize that TX' is identifiably a subalgebra of TX , because if $\iota : X' \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map, $T(\iota)$ is injective, and is a homomorphism as proved above. It is clear that h_X sends elements of $F_S(\Omega, X')$ to elements of TX' . Hence $h_X|_{F_S(\Omega, X')}$ is the homomorphism from $F_S(\Omega, X') \rightarrow TX'$ sending $x \in X'$ to $\eta_X(x) = \eta_{X'}(x)$; by the argument in the previous paragraph, it is an isomorphism. Thus whenever $h_X(\bar{e}_1) = h_X(\bar{e}_2)$, the restriction of h_X to $F_S(\Omega, X')$ with some suitable finite subset X' sends \bar{e}_1 and \bar{e}_2 to the same element of TX' , so that $\bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_2$ and h_X is injective. The surjectivity of h follows from the fact that (T, η, μ) is finitary — for all $\omega \in TX$, $\omega \in TX'$ for some finite subset X' of X , and therefore h sends an element of $F_S(\Omega, X')$ to ω .

This proves that h_X is an isomorphism, and it identifies TX with $F_S(\Omega, X)$. The proof of (1) is complete.

(3) follows from the fact that if $i_X : X \rightarrow F_S(\Omega, X)$ is the usual free-algebra inclusion, then $h_X i_X = \eta_X$. Thus when h_X identifies the algebras together, it identifies i_X and η_X together.

As for statement (2), we already know that $T(f) : TX \rightarrow TY$ is a homomorphism, so we need only show that $T(f)$ sends $\eta_X(x), x \in X$ to $\eta_Y(f(x))$. This is an immediate consequence of the naturality of η , which implies $T(f)\eta_X = \eta_Y f$.

Now to prove statement (4): we already proved that μ_X is a homomorphism, so we only need to show that it extends the identity map $TX \rightarrow TX$; that is, $\mu_X \eta_{TX} = 1_{TX}$. But this follows immediately from the monad axiom $\mu(\eta T) = 1_T$.

Thus statements (1)-(4) are proven and the proof is completed. ■

It's not easy to overestimate the power of what we have just proved. We've shown that from (almost) every monad on **Set**, we can recover a variety in universal algebra. There may be many other adjunctions from which monads on **Set** are formed; but those adjunctions would most likely not be monadic.

EXERCISES

1. Prove or disprove:

- (a) If (T, η, μ) is a monad on **Set**, then its Eilenberg-Moore category \mathbf{C}^T is an algebraic category [Section 10].
- (b) (T, η, μ) is a finitary monad if and only if \mathbf{C}^T is a finitary algebraic category.

2. Tell whether or not each monad on **Set** is finitary. If it is, state which variety it comes from. If not, find some other way to describe the Eilenberg-Moore category.
- (a) $TX = \mathcal{P}(X)$; for $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T(f)$ is the image map $\mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$ sending $S \rightarrow f(S)$; $\eta_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ sends each $x \in X$ to $\{x\}$; $\mu_X : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ sends each set of subsets of X to the union of the subsets. [Example 3 of Section 11]
- (b) Same as part (a), but TX is the set of nonempty subsets of X .
- (c) Same as part (a), but TX is the set of finite subsets of X .
- (d) Same as part (a), but TX is the set of countable subsets of X .
- (e) Let M be a fixed monoid. $TX = M \times X$; for $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T(f)$ is the map $M \times X \rightarrow M \times Y$ sending $(m, x) \rightarrow (m, f(x))$; $\eta_X : X \rightarrow M \times X$ sends each $x \in X$ to $(1, x)$; $\mu_X : M \times (M \times X) \rightarrow M \times X$ sends $(m_1, (m_2, x)) \rightarrow (m_1 m_2, x)$. [Example 2 of Section 11]
- (f) $TX = \{\circ\}$ for all X and $T(f), \eta, \mu$ are defined in the unique ways.
- (g) $TX = X \times X$; for $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T(f)$ is the map $X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ sending $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (f(x_1), f(x_2))$; $\eta_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$ sends each $x \in X$ to (x, x) ; $\mu_X : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \rightarrow X \times X$ sends $((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4))$ to (x_1, x_4) .
- (h) Let S be a fixed set. $TX = X^S$ [functions from S to X]; for $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T(f)$ is the map $X^S \rightarrow Y^S$ sending $h \rightarrow fh$ for $h : S \rightarrow X$; $\eta_X : X \rightarrow X^S$ sends each $x \in X$ to the constant function $s \rightarrow x$ in X^S ; $\mu_X : (X^S)^S \rightarrow X^S$ sends each $h : S \rightarrow X^S$ to the map $s \rightarrow h(s)(s)$ from $S \rightarrow X$. [Caution: Whether this monad is finitary depends on something about S . Parts (f) and (g) are special cases of this, so they may help.]
- (i) Let S be a fixed set. $TX = X \uplus S$; for $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T(f)$ is the map $X \uplus S \rightarrow Y \uplus S$ sending each $x \in X$ to $f(x)$ and each element of S to itself; $\eta_X : X \rightarrow X \uplus S$ sends each x to itself in the disjoint union summand X ; $\mu_X : (X \uplus S) \uplus S = X \uplus S$ sends each x to itself and each s in either of the S 's to itself in the summand S .